

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/660,071	KONDO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Louis Falasco	1773	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Louis Falasco.

(3) _____.

(2) Richard S. Barth.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 June 2005

Time: 1:20 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Previous Action

Claims discussed:

2

Prior art documents discussed:

-


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 5 addressed as rejected claim (previous action at page 8 paragraph "4." and at page 11 in paragraph "8."). Claim 2 inadvertently omitted will be addressed in following non-final action.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)